Journal of Climate Change, Vol. 9, No. 2 (2023), pp. 11-15.
DOI 10.3233/JCC230011

Consequence of Climate Change on Biodiversity and Biome of Antarctica - A Short Review

Shaheen Manna, Dipanwita Das*, Amrita Saha and Sayantika Mukherjee
Amity Institute of Environmental Sciences, Amity University, Kolkata, India
✉ ddas@kol.amity.edu

Received December 30, 2022; revised and accepted March 23, 2023

Abstract: In specific areas of the Arctic and Antarctic regions, air temperatures are expanding at rates more than two times the international average; additionally, there are other direct human impacts on polar regions. A quick change in climate impacting the polar regions will similarly have huge physical and biological consequences for the last existing parts of the planet since the ice-engulfed Arctic Ocean, the Antarctic landform, and the worldwide eminent Antarctic Circumpolar Current (ACC) serve a basic job in managing the Earth's current circumstance and ocean systems. Polar biodiversity is presently responding to this rapid climate change and more massive impacts will happen in this era. Intensifying the danger to polar biodiversity is the certainty that numerous polar environments have restricted functional redundancy; in case of the disappearance of a solitary keystone species, they may possibly be exposed to descending impacts and complete biological system rebuilding. This study focussed on the contributing factors of ecological change, the effects of natural change on the Antarctic environment, next to the effects of environmental change on the types of Antarctic biodiversity.

The most rapidly changing biological agenda on our planet are arranged in the polar regions. This issue is picked to research the effects of natural change on a particular region's biodiversity.

Keywords: Antarctic; Biodiversity; Climate change.

Introduction

The habitat of Antarctica, exceptionally, has shown clear effects of climate change, accordingly the outcomes for biodiversity are clear. The results are apparent that biodiversity is being impacted surprisingly by ecological change through its degradation of natural environmental factors and unfriendly results on species inside. Natural change occurs over an expansive range of time however the repercussions can be treacherous and irreversible (Crowley, 2000; Convey & Peck, 2019). The speedy anthropogenic natural change that is being capable about the mid-twenty-first century is actually bound with the prosperity and working of the biosphere. Change in the environment is influencing biological diversity through changes in mean circumstances and in climate alterability, joined with other related changes like extended ocean acidification and barometrical carbon dioxide fixations (Geyer et al., 2011). Some of the effects of natural changes that have been observed are habitat loss, habitat degradation and the likely risk of species elimination. Considering those factors, environmental change has turned into a controverting issue and has a huge impact on the biodiversity of that area (Holland et al., 2012; Singh et al., 2018). This study surveys all over the Antarctic biome, as due to its unique characteristics and the cold climate and the species' reliance on the normal natural surroundings the impacts of ecological change may be more unavoidable.

*Corresponding Author

Literature Review

Biodiversity is an important structural block of the benefits that biological systems bring to human societies. Of specific significance on account of its commitment to the working of natural frameworks, biodiversity is the collection of life at the hereditary, species, and environment levels of natural affiliation—is challenging to recover at whatever point it deteriorates (Anonymous Convention on Biological Diversity, Article-2, United Nations, 1992). With robust legitimate verification showing that human-stimulated ecological change is going on, it is expected to comprehend how species and delicate organic frameworks might be affected. There is practically no uncertainty among scientists that human incited GHGs discharge has contributed generally to environmental change. Most of the fundamental changes in the planet's temperature have been observed since the beginning of the period of industrialisation in the latter part of the 1800s (Brown and Lomo lino, 1998; Holdridge, 1947). Throughout recent years, the world's surface has warmed by around 0.6°C. Human actions, for instance, the abuse of non-renewable energy sources for the working of power plants and vehicles are conveying GHGs like CO_2 into the climate at a staggering rate. Individuals are currently conveying 70 million tons of CO_2 every day into the climate (Holland et al., 2012).

The inspiration for the following study is to collect an unrivalled perception of the effects of natural change on the polar biome's biodiversity, factors that add to ecological change, and what moves ought to be made to avoid future unfriendly outcomes on the biome's biodiversity. The inquiry that this study endeavours to answer is-What are the effects of environmental change on the biodiversity of the Antarctic biome?

Methodology

To explore this point and inspect questions stem to stern, these are the methods used. The essential method used to direct the exploration was finding scholarly overviewed articles from the different websites. The sort of insightful articles that were used were audit papers on ecological change, the Antarctic biome, sorts of the Antarctic biome, close-by effects of natural change on the Antarctic biome. These articles were chosen in light of the question and the subjects covered were decided to procure a predominant perception of the issue of natural change and a top to bottom understanding of the Antarctic biome's biodiversity.

Findings

To initially understand the impact of climate change on the Antarctic biome it's important to grasp the impact of ecological change overall. Ecological change is brought about by many factors some of which integrate the discharge of GHGs and anthropogenic activity. The emanation of GHGs consolidates gases like carbon dioxide, methane, nitrous oxide, and various gases. These gases add to what precisely is known as the Greenhouse Effect. This greenhouse impact happens when these GHGs are conveyed into the environment which then, increases the planet's temperature (Crowley, 2000). This has been a critical contributor to the issue of unnatural weather change and has been extending because of the action done by people all over the planet. This action is primarily anthropogenic, suggesting that it has been on a very basic level achieved by human actions. "Anthropogenic natural change is one of the essential allies of the overall loss of biodiversity (as characterised in the Convention on Biological Diversity), and it has made sped up paces of species' declinations, eradications and changes to conditions (Geyer et al., 2011)." From this part, its clear human activity is an ally of ecological change and subsequently provokes the lack of biodiversity globally. Some human activities that can be connected with ecological change are the rising levels of contamination and as mentioned before the release of GHGs. The habits by which individuals are adding to the liberation of GHGs into the air integrate consuming non-renewable energy sources, expanding population, and deforestation. With the world extending its populace the action seen adding to the release of GHGs continues to rise. Continuous rise of these GHGs will increase the average temperature of the Earth which will negatively affect the biodiversity of the planet (Crowley, 2000). These effects are hazardous to biodiversity overall. To gain a prevalent comprehension of natural changes, the biodiversity of the Antarctic biome is explored close by the species that involves it.

In recent years, the west coast of the Antarctic Peninsula has been one of the fastest-warming regions on Earth. This warming is not only limited to the continent but can also be observed in the Southern Ocean. Since 1955, upper sea temperatures west of the Antarctic Peninsula have increased by more than 1°C. It has now been laid out that the Antarctic Circumpolar Current is warming more quickly than the worldwide sea in general (Noble et al., 2020). A large portion of the significant expansion in Antarctic temperatures is on the Antarctic Peninsula related to melting sea ice

in the Bellingshausen and Amundsen Sea area. While both regular barometrical and maritime fluctuation, and changes in external compelling including expanded GHGs accumulation, should be viewed as in the explore for comprehending such changes, the collaborations and feedbacks between framework parts are major areas of strength for especially high latitudes (Crowley, 2000). From 1957 to date in the Antarctic, positive patterns in huge-scale air dissemination addressed by the Arctic Oscillations (AO) and Antarctic Oscillations (AAO) and the Pacific North American (PNA) oscillations add to the long-term temperature models. In any case, proceeding with Arctic patterns during the last 10 years of unbiased AO will require substitute clarifications. The pattern in the AAO starting around 1950 is bigger than anticipated from normal fluctuation and might be related to the decline in stratospheric ozone over the Antarctic (2006 Royal Meteorological Society).

Regardless of being one of the coldest, most ungracious places on Earth, Antarctica has an abundance of biodiversity, and its solitariness and outrageous environment have lent a specific measure of security to the numerous species that call the mainland and its encompassing waters home. Antarctica has not been resistant to human impacts, in any case, and its fauna is experiencing a scope of human effects, over a wide span of time.

The Antarctic region is additionally a significant indicator of what environmental change is meaning for our planet. Researchers can peruse the Antarctic ice cores like a record returning for countless years, looking at levels of carbon dioxide in climate beyond 800,000 years with the present estimations. This sends us a significant warning that carbon dioxide levels are higher now than ever. Accordingly, parts of the Antarctic region are warming multiple times as quickly as different places on our planet. Researchers lately recorded its hottest day ever—a particularly not-freezing 17.5°C (Columbia Climate School). In late 1700s, early pioneers demolished many seal populations, nearly clearing out species like the Antarctic fur seal. Huge-scope whaling in Antarctica started in the mid-1900s and comparably saw the populaces of numerous species almost become terminated. These species are yet recuperating and Antarctica's biological systems keep on being formed by the enormous human-instigated populace decays that happened previously. Since the 1950s, overharvesting of fish and krill has additionally adjusted these populaces, and progressing overharvesting stays as an enormous concern. Different stressors comprise contamination for the type of persistent organic pollutants (POPs), especially DDT, which, even though delivered into environments thousands of miles away, gather in polar locales and bioaccumulate up the food web (Columbia Climate School). The effects of these contaminations on Antarctic species are obscure, yet they have been displayed to have injurious effects on people and natural life. The polar locales are warming quicker and encountering more sensational changes than any other place in the world. In Antarctica, environmental change is having a scope of effects, from sea acidification to warming temperatures and loss of sea-ice. Sea ice is the basic reason for Antarctic environments and "most life forms", and therefore, life cycles in this area are receptive to ice irregularity. The huge phytoplankton blossoms that occur in the spring and summer draw in species from around the globe to benefit from the krill that these blooms support. In Antarctica, a wide cluster of animal categories is reliant upon krill, including penguins, seabirds, seals and whales (Columbia Climate School).

Impacts of Climate Change on Flora and Fauna of Antarctic Biome

1. Krills
   One of the smallest and the main organism of Antarctic biodiversity that fills the whole biological system of Antarctica, krills are semi-transparent shrimp-like fishes. Because of their little size, they can't swim against the flows or alleviate; the water currents generally make them flow or move. Krills are small shrimp-like shellfish (crustaceans) that act as a keystone species in Antarctica, implying that they are basic to environment functioning, yet a recent report observed that the amount of krill populations have declined 80% since the 1970s (Rogers et al., 2020). This is because of an assortment of elements, including sea ice loss, over-harvesting, ocean warming and acidification. Krill and other Antarctic species, which are exceptionally reliant upon sea ice, have declined significantly in certain regions of the Antarctic Ocean.
2. Penguins
   Several animal groups, similar to Emperor and Adélie penguins, are viewed as "ice-esteeming," or ice subordinate, while others, for instance, gentoo or chinstrap penguins, should simply be ice liberal (Nicol et al., 2000). Moreover, keeping in mind that it is hard to sum up all populaces of these species, the population of Adélies dwindled due to the shortage of ocean ice, and it's conceivable that emperors would follow. Gentoo and Chinstraps,

then again, have expanded their reach in parts of the Western Antarctic Peninsula. Adélie penguins—one of four animal varieties of penguins that breed on the Antarctic landmass—are reliant "in a tangled way on ocean ice for hunting, resting, shedding and relocating." Changes in ocean ice can then crucially affect penguin populations. As per a recent report, Adélies are experiencing more difficulty taking care of as ocean ice withdraws in the region of the Western Antarctic Peninsula. According to the review, "If the late spring sea ice disappears within the northernmost regions of Antarctica, Adélie penguins will be compelled to diminish their geographic reach southwards, as is going on within the swiftly warming Western peninsula locale (Lescroël et al., 2014)."

3. Seal and Whales
   Correspondingly to penguins, a few types of seals and whales are viewed as ice-subordinate for food and proliferation, while others improve without ice conditions. Crabeater, Weddell, Ross and Leopard seals are ice-subordinate species, dependent on ocean ice for multiplication and hunting. Southern Elephants and Fur seals, nonetheless, are not as reliant upon the ice for nourishing, wintering and rearing. Whale species like Minke and Killer are firmly associated with the ice, while Humpback and Blue whales feed in Antarctica in the austral summer, however, travel north for warm waters to raise.
4. Antarctic Mosses
   Antarctica is where one can encounter cold, white sceneries. Yet, in certain areas, there are lavish, green moss beds that rise from under the snow for a developing time of perhaps a month and a half. While West Antarctica and the Antarctic Peninsula are the absolute quickest warming spots on the planet, East Antarctica has not yet experienced a lot of environmental warming. After a review in 2000, an observing group was set up in 2003. At the point when they returned in 2008, they observed all the green moss beds had become dark red, showing they were seriously stressed. It was a sensational change. They change from green to red to grey; this happens when the mosses are under seriously stressed conditions. The red pigments are the sunscreen and drought-defensive shades they produce to secure themselves. At the point when their review began, these green beds were overwhelmed by a species called *Schistidium antarctici*, which can endure significant stretches of submerge (Putzke et al., 2020). By 2013, a significant number of the beds the group contemplated were being infringed by two other moss species that flourish in drier conditions and are less lenient to being immersed. East Antarctica has become colder, windier and drier because of the consolidated impacts of environmental change and depletion in ozone. The scientists say this is the principal study to show that the plants in East Antarctica are being impacted by environmental change and ozone depletion. Antarctic mosses are the main plants that are able to exist in East Antarctica. As they develop, these mosses protect a record of how dry or wet the climate is along their shoots- saving a record of the coastal environment of Antarctica throughout the centuries. They may be just 4-14 cm tall, but are homes to little creatures and; fungi, lichens and algal cells—consider them forests and essentially 40% of it is enduring dry spells (BBC News Report, 2018; Robinson et al., 2018).
5. Antarctic Algal Bloom
   Research and observations conducted over the summers of the years 2017, 2018, and 2019 have revealed that the Antarctic peninsula's snow is turning green. The algal growth becomes so profound that it occupies great areas of the snow. As a result, the colony is visible as a patch (or many patches) of green on white from space (Gray et al., 2020).

Discussion

Through systematic reviews, this study recognised components of ecological change as well as biodiversity outcomes in the Antarctic biome. The results tell a persuading story regarding how anthropogenic activity is making issues that harm biodiversity overall. While examining a specific environment, it's undeniable to see the unfriendly results at this point influencing everything. With the scene and states of the biome changing the results seem unfavourable for the species. These species are unaccustomed to new circumstances that cause them to feed in unexpected ways, reproduce in surprising ways, and change their practices. Species have no choice but to obtain the resources they are used for, causing starvation and fear of an early end. The impact of natural change isn't just real and exceptionally stressed for the fate of biodiversity in the Antarctic climate but for every environment. The issue is ending up being far and wide and its effect will in reality be seen progressively more over an extended time.

Conclusion

Species are responding to natural change through changes in morphology and conditioning, phenology, and geographic range shifts, and these movements are intermediated by plastic and transformative responses. Reactions by species and populaces, joined with direct effects of ecological change on biological frameworks, are achieving wide changes in efficiencies, species associations, shortcomings to natural invasions, and other new properties. Simultaneously, these effects adjust the advantages and administrations that regular biological systems can give to society. Although not all effects are negative, even positive changes may require exorbitant cultural adjustments. Natural resource managers need proactive, adaptable strategies that consider verifiable and future viewpoints to limit costs over the long run. Numerous associations are starting to investigate these methodologies; however, execution isn't yet predominant or methodical across the globe. We as human beings can have a central impact in protecting biodiversity which helps in adjusting the biological framework.

Considering the review biodiversity generally inside the Antarctic biome is unfavourably influenced. As the climate is changing the occupants (species) are also being affected. Unable to find their natural habitation ground, the species are threatened to become rare or extinct. This has already begun, and in the long term, they will perhaps break down in the event if preventive measures are not taken.

We ought to find green decisions to help with reducing radiation. Regardless of whether this is through observing cleaner transportation choices or enormous companies changing over to cleaner producers; steps should be taken to assist with safeguarding biodiversity. There is only one Earth and since its biomes are fragile, they are ought to be respected and shielded for individuals later on. Environmental change is a quick effect considering the activities of individuals and innocent species are being negatively impacted by human activities.

References

BBC News, 2018. Climate change kills Antarctica's ancient moss beds. https://www.bbc.com/news/science-environment-45629395, 25th September, 2018.

Convey, P. and Peck, L.S., 2019. Antarctic environmental change and biological responses, *Sci. Adv*., **11:** eaaz0888.

Crowley, T., 2000. Causes of climate change over the past 1000 years. *Science*, **289(5477):** 270-277.

Gray, A., et al., 2020. Remote sensing reveals Antarctic green snow algae as important terrestrial carbon sink. *Nature Communications*, **11(1):** 1-9.

Geyer, J., Kiefer, I., Kreft, S., Chavez, V., Salafsky, N., Jeltsch, F. and Ibisch, P.L., 2011. Classification of climate-change-induced stresses on biological diversity. *Conservation Biology*, **25(4):** 708-715.

Holland, M., Jahn, A., Bailey, D.A. and Blazey, B.A., 2012. Twenty-first-century arctic climate change in CCSM4. *Journal of Climate*, **25(8):** 2696-2710.

IPCC, 2007. Climate change. The physical science basis, Contribution of Working Group I to the Fourth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change; summary for policy makers. Geneva, Switzerland: WMO, UNEP.

Lescroël, A., Ballard, G., Grémillet, D., Authier, M. and Ainley, D.G., 2014. Antarctic climate change: Extreme events disrupt plastic phenotypic response in Adélie Penguins. *PLoS ONE*, **9(1):** e85291.

Noble, T.L., Rohling, E.J. and McCormack, F.S., 2020. Antarctica in a changing climate. Eos, p. 101. https://eos.org/editors-vox/antarctica-in-a-changing-climate , 12th November, 2020.

Putzke, J. and Pereira, A.B., 2020. The vegetation of the South Shetland Islands and the climatic change. *In:* Glaciers and the Polar Environment. London, U.K.: IntechOpen, pp. 63.

Robinson, S.A., et al., 2018. Rapid change in East Antarctic terrestrial vegetation in response to regional drying. *Nat. Clim. Change*, **8:** 879-884.

Rogers, A.D., et al., 2020. Antarctic futures: An assessment of climate-driven changes in ecosystem structure, function, and service provisioning in the Southern Ocean. *Annual Review of Marine Science*, **12:** 87-120.

Singha, J., et al., 2018. Influence of climate change on Antarctic flora. *Polar Science*, **18:** 94-101.

Stephen, N., Tim, P., Nathamiel, L.B. and Wright, S., 2000. Ocean circulation off east Antarctica affects ecosystem structure and sea-ice extent. *Nature*, **406:** 504-507.